

DELEGATED DECISION OFFICER REPORT

AUTHORISATION	INITIALS	DATE
File completed and officer recommendation:	PW	28/03/2019
Planning Development Manager authorisation:	AN	1/4/19
Admin checks / despatch completed	SB	01/04/19

Application: 19/00190/FUL **Town / Parish:** Clacton Non Parished

Applicant: Mrs Samantha Beadle

Address: 12 The Avenue Clacton On Sea Essex

Development: Proposed single storey front, side and rear extension.

1. Town / Parish Council

n/a

2. Consultation Responses

n/a

3. Planning History

19/00190/FUL Proposed single storey front, side and rear extension. Current

4. Relevant Policies / Government Guidance

NPPF National Planning Policy Framework February 2019

National Planning Practice Guidance

Tendring District Local Plan 2007

QL9 Design of New Development

QL10 Designing New Development to Meet Functional Needs

QL11 Environmental Impacts and Compatibility of Uses

HG9 Private Amenity Space

Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft (June 2017)

SPL3 Sustainable Design

Local Planning Guidance

Essex Design Guide

Status of the Local Plan

The 'development plan' for Tendring is the 2007 'adopted' Local Plan. Paragraph 213 of the NPPF (2018) allows local planning authorities to give due weight to adopted albeit outdated policies according to their degree of consistency with the policies in the NPPF. Paragraph 48 of the NPPF

also allows weight to be given to policies in emerging plans according to their stage of preparation, the extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies and the degree of consistency with national policy. As of 16th June 2017, the emerging Local Plan for Tendring is the Tendring District Local Plan 2013-2033 and Beyond Publication Draft.

Section 1 of the Local Plan (which sets out the strategy for growth across North Essex including Tendring, Colchester and Braintree) was examined in January and May 2018 and the Inspector's initial findings were published in June 2018. They raise concerns, very specifically, about the three 'Garden Communities' proposed in north Essex along the A120 designed to deliver longer-term sustainable growth in the latter half of the plan period and beyond 2033. Further work is required to address the Inspector's concerns and the North Essex Authorities are considering how best to proceed.

With more work required to demonstrate the soundness of the Local Plan, its policies cannot yet carry the full weight of adopted policy, however they can carry some weight in the determination of planning applications. The examination of Section 2 of the Local Plan will progress once matters in relation to Section 1 have been resolved. Where emerging policies are particularly relevant to a planning application and can be given some weight in line with the principles set out in paragraph 48 of the NPPF, they will be considered and, where appropriate, referred to in decision notices. In general terms however, more weight will be given to policies in the NPPF and the adopted Local Plan.

5. Officer Appraisal

Proposal

This application seeks planning permission for a single storey side and rear extension, and a front porch to a single storey detached dwelling within the Development Boundary of Clacton on Sea.

Design and Appearance

The side and rear extension will replace conservatories to the rear and an attached shed to the side of the property. The side element of this extension will be the most publically visible, and represents a visual improvement over the existing structure - particularly as it increases separation between the building and the side boundary. The use of matching materials will ensure the extension appears in keeping with the main house, and the flat roof will maintain a sense of subservience. The rear element of the extension increases the bulk to the rear of the property - but as it will be largely screened and is only single storey with a flat roof, any impact will not be significant. Adequate private amenity space is retained to the rear of the property.

The proposed front porch will also be a visual improvement over the existing porch, which has significantly different materials to the rest of the dwelling, as the proposed materials will match across the front elevation of the dwelling and there will be a greater sense of cohesion.

Impact on Neighbours

Due to the separation between the proposal and each neighbouring dwelling, and the single storey nature of the proposals, there will be no significant impact on neighbouring daylight or outlook. The proposed side facing windows are at ground floor level, and there is an existing tall fence at this boundary so neighbouring privacy will not be impacted.

Other Considerations

No letters of representation have been received.

Conclusion

In the absence of material harm as a result of the proposed development, this application is recommended for approval.

6. Recommendation

Approval - Full

7. Conditions

- 1 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason - To comply with the requirements of Section 91 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, as amended by the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans: Drawing no's. NP051991/A1, NP051991/E1, and NP051991/E2.

Reason - For the avoidance of doubt and in the interests of proper planning.

8. Informatives

Positive and Proactive Statement

The Local Planning Authority has acted positively and proactively in determining this application by assessing the proposal against all material considerations, including planning policies and any representations that may have been received and subsequently determining to grant planning permission in accordance with the presumption in favour of sustainable development, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.

Are there any letters to be sent to applicant / agent with the decision? If so please specify:	NO
Are there any third parties to be informed of the decision? If so, please specify:	NO